

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,830	12/09/2003	Bahram Keramati	133743-1/YOD GERD:0072	4403	
41838 GENERAL EI	7590 07/23/200 LECTRIC COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
C/O FLETCH	ER YODER	LEUNG, PHILIP H			
P. O. BOX 692 HOUSTON T	2289 X 77269-2289	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
110001011,1	11 // 203 2403		3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/731,830	KERAMATI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Philip H. Leung	3742		

	Philip H. Leung	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this olication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places to iotation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13.17 or (5) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time foots: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07 (f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co: (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloic) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: 2 and 31. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-30.41 and 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but As set forth in the final rejection, the claimed structure of intended use, the same function would be inherently acrapparatus 10 clearly is the claimed transparent shield er passageway 14). The 103 rejection is maintained for the	oes not define over Andrews or He nieved by a same structure. Further nclosing at least a portion of the rad	nrie as "particle reduc more, in Henrie, the h liation absorption zone	tion" is only an ousing 12 of the					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	/District 111/							

/Philip H Leung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742